| Math Classroom Observation Rubric (based on Instructional Practice Guide |) instructionpartners.org |
|--|---------------------------|
| • | |

| Standard Alignment: Does the lesson reflect the demands of the standards? | ice Guide) Instructionpartners.org | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Instruction meets the demand of the standard or pairing of standard(s). | The instruction meets the demand of the standard or pairing of standard(s). 4 – Fully meets 3 – Mostly meets 2 – Partially meets 1 – Does not meet | | | | | |
| Core Action 1: Does the lesson ensure the work of the enacted lesson reflects the focus, coherence, and rigor required by college- and career-ready standards in mathematics? | | | | | | |
| A. The goal of each lesson reflects mathematics within the grade-level standards. | Yes – The goal of the lesson focuses on mathematics within the grade-level standards. No, but appropriate – The goal of the lesson focuses on non-grade-level standards in an intentionally coherent way to increase access to grade-level materials. No – The goal of the lesson does not focus on mathematics within the grade-level standards. | | | | | |
| B. Content is linked to prior math knowledge to increase access to grade-level math concepts for students with unfinished learning | Yes - Connections are being made to help students think about the math in a coherent way that helps them access grade-level material. No - Connections are not being made to help students think about the math in a coherent way that helps them access grade-level material. | | | | | |
| C. The enacted lesson intentionally targets the aspect(s) of rigor (conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, application) called for by the standard(s) being addressed. | Circle the aspect(s) of rigor targeted in the standard addressed in this lesson: Conceptual, Procedural, Application Yes – The enacted lesson explicitly targets the aspect(s) of rigor called for by the standard(s) being addressed. No – The enacted lesson targets aspects of rigor that are not appropriate for the standard(s) being addressed. | | | | | |
| Core Action 2: Does the lesson employ instructional practices that allow all students to learn the content of the lesson? | | | | | | |
| A. The teacher makes the mathematics of the lesson clear through the use of explanations, representations, tasks, and/or examples. | 4 - A variety of instructional techniques and examples are used to make the mathematics of the lesson clear. 3 - Examples are used to make the mathematics of the lesson clear. 2 - Instruction is limited to showing students how to get the answer. 1 - Instruction is not focused on the mathematics of the lesson. | | | | | |
| B. The teacher strengthens all students' understanding of the content by strategically sharing students' representations and/or solution methods and connecting those solutions to the learning goal of the lesson. | 4 – Student solution methods are shared and connections to the mathematics are explicit and purposeful. Connections between the methods are examined. 3 – Student solution methods are shared and some mathematical connections are made between them. 2 – Student solution methods are shared, but few connections are made to strengthen student understanding. 1 – Student solution methods are not shared. | | | | | |
| C. The teacher deliberately checks for understanding to surface misconceptions and opportunities for growth to provide feedback to students. | 4 – The teacher checks for understanding among most students. Feedback is provided and students are expected to incorporate feedback into their work. 3 – The teacher checks for understanding among most students and feedback is provided. 2 – The teacher checks for understanding among some students. Feedback is provided to those students. 1 – The teacher checks for understanding among few or no students and/or no feedback is provided. | | | | | |
| D. Throughout the lesson the teacher facilitates summaries of the key learnings with references to student work and discussion in order to reinforce the purpose of the lesson. | 4 – The lesson includes a summary with references to student work and discussion that reinforces the mathematics. 3 – The lesson includes a summary with a focus on the mathematics. 2 – The lesson includes a summary with limited focus on mathematics. 1 – The lesson does not include a summary of the mathematics. | | | | | |
| F. Students from historically marginalized communities consistently receive supportive feedback that affirms their abilities and potential as mathematicians. | 4 – The teacher consistently provides feedback that affirms the abilities and potential of a variety of individual students and includes precision and nuance unique to the student's work. 3 – The teacher consistently provides feedback that affirms the abilities and potential of a variety of individual students and extends beyond stating answers are right or wrong. 2 – The teacher provides feedback that affirms the abilities and potential of a limited set of individual students and | | | | | |

| | extends beyond simply stating answers are right or wrong. 1 – The teacher does not provide feedback that affirms the abilities and potential of individual students beyond stating answers are right or wrong. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Core Action 3: Does the lesson and instruction provide support for all students to exhibit mathematical practices while engaging with the content of the lesson? | | | | | | |
| A. Students do the majority of the work of the lesson by working with and practicing grade-level problems and exercises. | 4 - Most students are doing the majority of the work in service of grade-level standards. 3 - Some students are doing the majority of the work in service of grade-level standards. 2 - Few students are doing the majority of the work in service of grade-level standards, OR students are doing the majority of the work not in service of grade-level standards. 1 - Students are not doing the majority of the work. | | | | | |
| B. Students productively struggle to arrive at mathematical understanding through reasoning and appropriate scaffolding. | 4 - Most students are engaging in productive struggle with sufficient scaffolding that allows them to use reasoning to arrive at grade-level mathematical understanding. 3 - Some students are engaging in productive struggle with sufficient scaffolding to arrive at grade-level mathematical understanding. 2 - Few students are engaging in productive struggle with sufficient scaffolding to arrive at grade-level mathematical understanding, OR students are engaging in productive struggle but not in service of grade-level understanding. 1 - Students are not engaging in productive struggle. | | | | | |
| C. Students display their thinking about the content of the lesson beyond just stating answers. | 4 - Most students display their thinking beyond just stating answers in service of grade-level understanding. 3 - Some students display their thinking beyond just stating answers in service of grade-level understanding. 2 - Few students display their thinking beyond just stating answers in service of grade-level understanding, OR students are displaying their thinking beyond just stating answers, but not in service of grade-level understanding. 1 - Few or no students display their thinking beyond just stating answers. | | | | | |
| D. Students talk and ask questions about each other's thinking, in order to clarify or improve their own mathematical understanding. | 4 - Most students are engaged in student-to-student conversations that are in service of grade-level understanding and clarify and improve their understanding. 3 - Some students are engaged in student-to-student conversations that are in service of grade-level understanding and clarify and improve their understanding. 2 - Few student conversations are occurring in service of grade-level understanding, OR student-to-student conversations are occurring but not in service of grade-level understanding. 1 - Student to student conversations are not occurring for most students. | | | | | |
| E. Students connect their informal language and mathematical ideas to increasingly precise mathematical language and ideas. | 4 - Most students are asked to connect their informal language and ideas to more precise mathematical language and ideas in service of grade-level understanding. 3 - Some students are asked to connect their informal language and ideas to more precise mathematical language and ideas in service of grade-level understanding. 2 - Few students are asked to connect their informal language and mathematical ideas in service of grade-level content, OR students are asked to connect their informal language and mathematical ideas, but not in service of grade-level understanding. 1 - Students are not asked to connect their informal language and mathematical ideas to more precise mathematical language and ideas. | | | | | |
| Student Mastery: Did students master or move towards mastery of the content of | the lesson? | | | | | |
| Students exhibit a strong grasp of the content of the lesson. | Students are moving towards a strong grasp of the content of the lesson. 4 – Most students 3 – Some students 2 – Few students 1 – No students | | | | | |

| Classroom/teacher/ objective/standard(s) | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Race/Ethnicity | Gender | Socioeconomic status | Students with disabilities | Multilingual learners |
| School demographic information | , | | | | 3 |
| Who is in the class? | | | | | |
| Who is participating? | | | | | |
| Implications: | | 1 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Content/task(s): | Teacher/s | udent evidence: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Summary and big takeaways: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |